After Final Office Action of June 21, 2006

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed June 21, 2006.

Claims 1, 3, 10 and 19-22 have been amended. Claim 8 has been cancelled. Support for

amendments to claims 1 and 14 can be found throughout the originally filed application, e.g.,

paragraphs 41 and 43, and Table 4. No new matter is introduced. Applicants submit these

amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance or better condition for Appeal, pursuant

to C.F.R. 1.116. Accordingly entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

Independent claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-7, 9 and 14-18, independent claim 10 and its

dependent claims 11-13, and independent claim 19 and its dependent claims 20-22, are currently

pending and at issue.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103

The Examiner has rejected the claims under U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over (1)

Metz et al (AJH 1:58-60 1988), (2) Skinner (Scan J Nutr 2/99 suppl 34 p. 45S), and (3) Summerbell

(BMJ 317 1998 p. 1478-89), in view of knowledge that allegedly can be obtained by routine

experimentation or is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Amended claims 1, 10 and 19 are directed to methods of modulating metabolism or inducing

weight loss and/or increasing the metabolic consumption of adipose tissue in an individual suffering

from obesity.

Applicants contend that the present invention is distinct from Metz, Skinner and

Summerbell, because these references, either alone or in combination, do not disclose, teach or

suggest the claimed invention as set forth in amended claims 1, 10 or 19 or their dependent claims

7

Docket No.: 31894-202097

2-7, 9 and 14-18, claims 11-13, or claims 20-22, respectively, which include additional limitations

distinguishing them from the cited references. The rejection is traversed. The Applicants

respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner

reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn.

Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action

and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants request

that the Examiner issue a Notice of Allowance indicating the allowability of claims 1-7 and 9-22 and

that the application be passed to issue. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal

communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is hereby invited to

telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

8

Application No. 10/827,307 Amendment dated October 18, 2006 After Final Office Action of June 21, 2006

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

Dated: October 18, 2006

condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Docket No.: 31894-202097

Michael A. Gollin

Registration No.: 31,957

Zayd Alathari

Registration No.: 42,256

VENABLE LLP P.O. Box 34385

Washington, DC 20043-9998

(202) 344-4000

(202) 344-8300 (Fax)

Attorney/Agent For Applicant

#793211